UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE                       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/720,276   | 03/07/2001                        | John W. Erickson     | 207596              | 9981             |
|  | 7590 04/10/200<br>Γ & MAYER, LTD. | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900<br>180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE |                                   |                      | LE, EMILY M         |                  |
| CHICAGO, IL  |                                   |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ,  |                                   |                      | 1648                |                  |
|  |                                   |                      |                     |                  |
|  |                                   |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |                                   |                      | 04/10/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)    |  |  |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 09/720,276      | ERICKSON ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit        |  |  |
| Emily Le        | 1648            |  |  |

|   | Ziriiiy 20   | 1049   |
|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the   | correspondence address   |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>25 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF   | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR  | ALLOWANCE.   |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:   | replies: (1) an amendment, affidav<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance  | it, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request                |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing  | g date of the final rejection.   |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (  | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin<br>(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE   | g date of the final rejection.   |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origen than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp  | oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be  | filed within two months of the date of   |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water MAMENDMENTS  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a   |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co   | nsideration and/or search (see NO  |  |
| <ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>   |  | ducing or simplifying the issues for   |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1  |  | ected claims.  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13  | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co  | mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)  | :  |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).   |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 47 and 49-81. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  | ll be entered and an explanation of  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe  | al and/or appellant fails to provide a   |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after e  | ntry is below or attached.   |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  | t does NOT place the application in  | n condition for allowance because:   |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>  | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |  |
| /E.L./  | /Emily Le/   |  |
|   | Patent Examiner, Art Ur  | nit 1648   |
|   |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: It should be kept in mind that applicant cannot, as a matter of right, amend any finally rejected claims, add new claims after a final rejection (see 37 CFR 1.116) or reinstate previously canceled claims. Except where an amendment merely cancels claims, adopts examiner suggestions, removes issues for appeal, or in some other way requires only a cursory review by the examiner, compliance with the requirement of a showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b)(3) is expected in all amendments after final rejection.

The proposed amendment does not merely cancels claims, adopts examiner suggestions, removes issues for appeal nor in some way requires only a cursory review by the examiner. The proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further search for the proposed amendment contains claims that differ significant from the examined claims. The examined claims are directed to a method of inhibiting the development of drug resistance in an HIV infected animal with the administration of a composition; whereas, the proposed amendment sets forth a different invention, a method of treating an HIV infected animal that has developed resistance to HIV treatment with various active method steps. Due to the noted difference, the entry of the proposed amendment would necessitate further search. Therefore, the entry of the amendment is denied.